In the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

NATIONAL VETERANS LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM,
NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER, and ALLIANCE FOR JUSTICE,
for themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant-Appellee,

v.

ERIC ALAN ISAACSON Interested Party-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CASE NO. 1:16-745-PLF (THE HON. PAUL L. FRIEDMAN)

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPELLEES' RESPONSE BRIEFS

The plaintiffs-appellees and defendant-appellee respectfully request a 30-day extension of time within which to file their response briefs, from August 12, 2024, to September 11, 2024. This is their first request for an extension of time on these briefs. There is good cause for this request, as follows:

- 1. Counsel with primary responsibility for drafting the brief for plaintiffsappellees, Deepak Gupta and Jonathan E. Taylor, have several pressing obligations
 that have taken up and will continue to take up substantial time over the next month
 or so. Among other things, Mr. Gupta is counsel of record in two U.S. Supreme
 Court cases, both of which were granted last month and have required (and will
 continue to require) a substantial amount of his time, while Mr. Taylor has primary
 responsibility for several other appellate briefs due in August. Their colleagues at
 Gupta Wessler have their own deadlines that will prevent them from helping with
 the preparation of the brief. These obligations will make it difficult to properly
 prepare the brief absent the requested extension. Specifically, Mr. Gupta and Mr.
 Taylor have the following upcoming deadlines over the next two months:
 - A response and reply brief due in the Tenth Circuit in *Dunn v. Santa Fe*Natural Tobacco Co., No. 23-2180/23-2181, on July 26, 2024;
 - A reply to an answer to a petition due in the Washington Supreme Court in *Erickson v. Pharmacia*, *LLC.*, No. 83287-5/1031351, on July 31, 2024;
 - A reply to a brief in opposition of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court in *McLaughlin Chiropractic Assocs.*, *Inc. v. McKesson Corp.*, No. 23-1226, on August 19, 2024;

- A reply brief due in the Nevada Supreme Court in *Uber Sexual Assault Survivors for Legal Accountability v. Uber Tech.*, Inc., No. 24OC000561B, on August 21, 2024;
- A principal brief due in the Second Circuit in Cantero v. Bank of America,
 N.A., No. 21-400, on August 26, 2024;
- A principal brief due in the Second Circuit in Hymes v. Bank of America,
 N.A., No. 21-403, on August 26, 2024;
- A reply brief due in the Missouri Court of Appeals in Ameer v. Lyft, Inc.,
 No. ED112455, on August 27, 2024;
- An opening brief due in the First Circuit in *Conti v. Citizens Bank*, No. 22-1770, on August 29, 2024;
- A reply brief due in the Eighth Circuit in Vogt v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.,
 No. 24-1808, on September 4, 2024;
- A respondent's brief due in the Washington State Court of Appeals,
 First Division, in Clinger v. Pharmacia, LLC, No. 86188-3, on September 5,
 2024;
- An opening brief on the merits due in the U.S. Supreme Court in Stanley
 v. City of Sanford, No. 23-997, on September 9, 2024;
- An oral argument in the Missouri Court of Appeals in Ameer v. Lyft, Inc.,
 No. ED112455, on September 10, 2024;

- An amicus brief due in the Third Circuit in *Bristol Myers Squibb Co. v.*Becerra, No. 24-1820, on September 16, 2024;
- An amicus brief due in the Third Circuit in Janssen Pharms., Inc. v. Becerra,
 No. 24-1821, on September 16, 2024;
- An amicus brief due in the Third Circuit in *AstraZeneca Pharms. LP*, v. *Becerra*, No. 24-1819, on September 19, 2024;
- A response brief on the merits due in the U.S. Supreme Court in NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB, No. 23-970, on September 25, 2024;
- An oral argument in the Fourth Circuit in Alig v. Rocket Mortgage LLC,
 No. 22-2289, on September 26, 2024.
- 2. In addition, Mr. Taylor tested positive for COVID-19 on July 17, 2024, and has continued to test positive and to experience symptoms since then, while he has also had to care for his two small children while they have been home sick with COVID-19, which has greatly limited his ability to work during that time.
- 3. Defendant-appellee joins in this requested extension. The interested party-appellant, Mr. Isaacson, does not oppose this motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the unopposed motion for a 30-day extension of time to file the appellees' briefs should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Deepak Gupta

DEEPAK GUPTA JONATHAN E. TAYLOR GUPTA WESSLER LLP 2001 K Street, NW Suite 850 North Washington, DC 20006 (202) 888-1741 deepak@guptawessler.com

WILLIAM H. NARWOLD ELIZABETH SMITH MEGHAN OLIVER MOTLEY RICE LLC 28 Bridgeside Blvd. Mount Pleasant, SC 29464 (843) 216-9000 bnarwold@motleyrice.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees

/s/ Alexis M. Daniel

ALEXIS M. DANIEL
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division
Commercial Litigation Branch
Corporate Financial/Litigation
Section
P.O. Box 875
Ben Franklin Station,
Washington, DC 20044-0875

Counsel for Defendant-Appellee

alexis.daniel2@usdoj.gov

(202) 451-7695

July 26, 2024

July 26, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This motion complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because this motion contains 665 words excluding the parts of the motion exempted by Rule 32(f). This motion complies with the typeface requirements of Rule 32(a)(5) and the type-style requirements of Rule 32(a)(6) because this motion has been prepared in proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in 14-point Baskerville font.

July 26, 2024

/s/ Deepak Gupta
Deepak Gupta

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 26, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing motion with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit by using the CM/ECF system. All participants are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ Deepak Gupta
Deepak Gupta